FLATHEAD COUNTY PLANNING BOARD MINUTES OF THE MEETING MAY 9, 2012

CALL TO ORDER

A meeting of the Flathead County Planning Board was called to order at approximately 6:00 p.m. Board members present were Marie Hickey-AuClaire, Frank DeKort, Jim Heim, Jeff Larsen and Ron Schlegel. Charles Lapp had an excused absence. Robert Faulkner, Greg Stevens and Gene Shellerud were absent. BJ Grieve and Alex Hogle represented the Flathead County Planning & Zoning Office.

There were 3 people in the audience.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Schlegel made a motion, seconded by Heim to approve the April 11, 2012 meeting minutes.

The motion passed by quorum.

PUBLIC COMMENT (not related to agenda items)

None.

EAGLE ROCK II SUBDIVISION AND PUD (FPPUD 12-01 & FPP 12-01)

A Zone Change request in the Southeast Rural Whitefish and Scenic Corridor Zoning Districts by North Forty Resort Corp. The proposal would change the zoning on 39.67 acres from SAG-10 (Suburban Agricultural) on the north half of the property, and SC-Scenic Corridor on the south half of the property to entirely BR-4 (Resort Business). The property is located at 3765 MT Highway 40 West.

STAFF REPORT

Alex Hogle reviewed Staff Report FPPUD 12-01 and FPP 12-01 for the Board.

BOARD QUESTIONS

The board and Hogle discussed the size and locations of easements in the subdivision, the removal of the PUD from the entire property and if the cul-de-sacs met the subdivision regulations.

APPLICANT PRESENTATION

Eric Mulcahy, representing the applicant, said they were comfortable with the conditions suggested by staff. He explained the cul-de-sac dimensions, how they met the subdivision regulations and the fact it passed the fire department review. He spoke at length about the history of the property and why the

applicant submitted the application which was the foreclosure of the subdivision, the subsequent purchase of the remaining lots from the bank by the applicant and the desire to remove the lot boundaries so he had an estate lot. The PUD didn't offer any benefits with the new configuration of the lots, so they opted to eliminate the PUD.

BOARD QUESTIONS None.

AGENCY COMMENTS None.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None.

APPLICANT REBUTTAL

None.

STAFF REBUTTAL None.

BOARD DISCUSSION Hickey-AuClaire and Grieve discussed the process the application should follow concerning an abandonment of the PUD.

MAIN MOTION TO ABANDON PUD Schlegel made a motion seconded by Larsen to abandon FPPUD 12-01 PUD.

(FPPUD 12-01)

DISCUSSION

BOARD

None.

ROLL CALL VOTE TO ABANDON PUD (FPPUD 12-01) On a roll call vote the motion passed unanimously.

MAIN MOTION TO ADOPT F.O.F. (FPP 12-01) DeKort made a motion seconded by Heim to adopt staff report FPP 12-01 as findings-of-fact.

BOARD DISCUSSION

None.

ROLL CALL TO ADOPT F.O.F. (FPP 12-01) On a roll call vote the motion passed unanimously.

MAIN MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF CONDITIONS (FPP 12-01) DeKort made a motion seconded by Schlegel to adopt Staff Report FPP 12-01 and recommend approval to the Board of County Commissioners.

BOARD DISCUSSION

None.

ROLL CALL TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF (FPP 12-01) On a roll call vote the motion passed unanimously.

OLD BUSINESS

None.

NEW BUSINESS

Tom Jentz discussed Kalispell's plan to update their Growth Policy. He talked about their land use map, when it was adopted and updated and when their policy sections were written. He also spoke about meetings which had been held, proposed future land use, and studies and plans which had been done so far.

He handed out handouts which described what had occurred and the growth of Kalispell in the last couple of years during the housing boom. He talked about various developments, the changes in development in recent years and potential development in the future.

The board and Jentz discussed various issues such as the relationship between Kalispell and Evergreen, the reality of retail and location, and the differences of points of view and communication between the county and city. They also discussed where the new rail industrial park would be, the sewer treatment plant, and the Foys Lake area. They also discussed the major areas the city planning office planned to focus on.

Grieve spoke about the subdivision regulations section concerning fees. He talked about House Bill 522 which concerned preliminary plat extensions. He reviewed the process which was followed for an extension and the recommendations he proposed to the commissioners. He suggested a \$100 fee for processing the extension requests. The application form for an extension would be available on the website.

The board and Grieve discussed the pros and cons of requiring a fee.

MAIN MOTION TO SUPPORT ADMINISTRATION FEES FOR SUBDIVISION EXTENSIONS

DeKort motioned and Larsen seconded to support administration fee of \$100 for preliminary plat extension agreements.

BOARD DISCUSSION

None.

ASK THE OUESTION

DeKort asked the question.

ROLL CALL
VOTE TO
SUPPORT
ADMINISTRATION
FEES FOR
SUBDIVISION
EXTENSIONS

On a roll call vote the motion passed unanimously.

NEW BUSINESS CONTINUED

Donna Valade, board secretary, brought to the board members' attention there had been several absences without reason by board members.

The board discussed options to address the issue and if it needed to be addressed.

It was decided Hickey-AuClaire would draft a letter then let Grieve look at the letter then decide if they would send it to all members with a copy of the bylaws.

ADJOURNMENT	The meeting was motion by Heim. June 13, 2012.	3		9	_		
Marie Hickey-AuClaire, Chairman		D.	onna Valad	le, Recor	ding Se	ecreta	 ry

 $APPROVED\ AS\ \textbf{SUBMITTED}/\ CORRECTED:\ 6\ /\ 13\ /\ 12$